

التحليل النوعي لبقايا ما بعد االنفجار باستخدام تقنية الكروماتوغرافيا السائلة فائقة األداء مزدوجة

االقرتان واملقرتنة بمطياف الكتلة الرتاديف مع التأين بالرذاذ اإللكرتوين

Nilimamayee Samal1*, Abhinandan Patra2 , Jeba O. Shiney3

1 Department of Forensic Science, Lok Nayak Jayaprakash Narayan National Institute of Criminology & Forensic Science, Ministry of Home Affairs, Rohini, New Delhi, India.

2 Chubb Business Services LLP, Hyderabad, Telengana, India.

3 Departmet of Electrical, Electronics and Communication, Galgotias University, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Received 17 Feb. 2021; Accepted 08 Apr. 2021; Available Online 01 Jun. 2021

Abstract

In this paper, the identification and forensic analysis of post-blast residues recovered from controlled blast sites has been presented. The targeted sample was extracted from post-blast soil by using the Accelerated Solvent Extraction technique. The average recovery varies from 86- 93% at 250, 500,750 and 1000 ng/g concentration level. The target compound was primarily identified to be PETN (Penta Erythritol Tetra Nitrate) by a color test and TLC (Thin Layer Chromatography). The confirmatory test for the target analyte was done by using LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatography - Tandem Mass Spectrometry). Heated Electron Spray Ionization (HESI) interface with negative mode was employed and SRM (Selected Reaction Monitoring) on Triple Quadruple Mass Analyzer was used for confirmation of PETN in the sample. The Limit of Detection (LOD) obtained from the analysis of post-blast residue by using this method was 7.9 ng/g. This manuscript demonstrates the viability of LC-MS/MS for a fast, accurate and quality-assured analysis of post-blast residue.

Keywords: Forensic Sciences, Accelerated Solvent Extraction, Explosives, Post-blast residue, LC-MS/MS.

CrossMark

تستعرض هذه الورقة طريقة كشف وتحليل جنايئ لبقايا ما بعد الانفجار المستعادة من مواقع الانفجار الخاضعة للرقابة. فلقد تم استخلاص العينات المستهدفة من تربة ما بعد الانفجار باستخدام تقنية الاستخلاص المعجل بالمنيبات، حيث تراوح متوسط استرداد االستخالص بني 86-93% عند مستوى تركيز ,250 ,500 750 و1000 g/ng. وحدد املركب املستهدف بشكل أسايس ليكون Penta (PETN Erythritol Tetra Nitrate) عن طريق الاختبار اللوني وكروماتوغرافيا الطبقة الرقيقة TLC. وأجرى الاختبار التأكيدي للتحليل المستهدف باستخدام تقنية الكروماتوغرافيا السائلة القترنة بمطياف الكتلة الترادفي LG-MS / MS، واستخدم التأين بالرذاذ الإلكتروني المسخن (HESI) بالوضع السلبي مع آلية الكشف بالرصد الأيوني التعدد SRM لتأكيد وجود PETN يف العينة. ولقد كان حد الكشف النوعي)LOD)الذي تم الحصول عليه من تحليل بقايا ما بعد االنفجار باستخدام هذه الطريقة g/ng ،7.9 وتوضح هذه الورقة جدوى العمل بتقنية MS / MS-LC إلجراء تحليل سريع ودقيق ومضمون الجودة لبقايا ما بعد االنفجار.

الكلمات المفتاحية: علوم الأدلة الجنائية، التفجرات، الاستخلاص المعجل بالذيبات، بقايا ما بعد الانفجار، الكروماتوغرافيا السائلة، مطياف الكتلة الترادفي.

* Corresponding Author: Nilimamayee Samal Email: nilima.samal1@gmail.com doi: [10.26735/ZUAY6063](https://doi.org/10.26735/ZUAY6063)

1658-6794© 2021. AJFSFM. This is an open access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons, Attribution-NonCommercial License.

1. Introduction

The investigation of bomb incidents, either after the device has exploded or it has been rendered safe, is a requirement for the Criminal Justice System and national security. Forensic laboratory provides the investigator with valuable investigative leads, ultimately connecting the suspect to the crime. The laboratory can only examine what is recovered from the blast scene and submitted in the form of samples or evidence. Hence the collection of evidence plays an important role in the investigation and forensic analysis of post-blast residue. Evidence is used to aid in the solving of a case, to develop leads, provide or eliminate suspects, establish a connection to the suspect, establish matches such as tool marks, residue etc., substantiate a theory of the case and establish the device designer's "signature" [1,2]. The post-blast residue analysis gives information on the origin of the explosives used in the preparation of a bomb and gives law enforcement a specific direction for investigation.

Explosives are chemical compounds/ mixtures that contain a huge amount of potential energy. An explosion is accompanied with a release of heat energy, light, loud sound, blast pressure and occurs when these substances are exposed to mechanical or thermal shock [3-5]. An explosion in a controlled environment can be used for constructive applications such as mining, building demolition, pyrotechnics, carving (e.g. Mount Rushmore), controlling avalanches etc. Micro-explosions are even used medicinally to break-up kidney stones. The destructive effects of unrestrained explosions make explosives a very good choice for terrorists when carrying out illegal activities. For this destructive activity high secondary explosives are mostly used, such as RDX, TNT, PETN, PEK because of their high velocity of detonation (2000-8000 meter/second).

The forensic analysis of post-blast residue helps investigators and law enforcement agencies in narrowing down an investigation and unwrapping terrorist activity. Forensic scientists face several challenges during the analysis of post-blast residue because of the limited availability of the targeted compound in the sample, i.e in picogram (10- 12 gram) or femtogram (10-12 gram) level and the sample received for analysis is a soil sample from the blast site, which is a very complex matrix [6]. To overcome these challenges, reliable extraction techniques and sensitive instrumental procedures need to be used for the analysis of post-blast residue. Table-1 indicates the analytical techniques used for the analysis of explosives.

 The LC-MS/MS is a double hyphenated analytical technique best suited for thermal labile nonvolatile mixture compounds. The Liquid Chromatograph separates the components, and the Mass Spectrometer analyses the mass. There are certain explosives which possess almost similar molecular mass, such as; RDX & TATP (Molar mass 222.12 g/mol & 222.24 g/mol respectively), TNT & Nitro glycerine (227.13 g/mol & 227.08 g/mol). The MS/MS (Mass Spectrometer with tandem Mass) is capable of overcoming the difficulty in differentiating between two molecules that have similar molar mass by fragmenting the molecular ions and analysing the product/daughter ions. This analytical technique has proved to be very promising for its high resolving power, high mass stability, high mass accuracy, fast polarity switching and minimum scan to scan variance [11, 14]. There is no trade-off between resolution & sensitivity, both can be achieved in LC-MS/MS. The MS/MS technique is capable of detecting compounds in ppt level [15].

In this article, the important practical systematic procedure for the identification of post-blast residues of explosives are presented.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Standards and Chemicals

The reference standards of RDX (1,3,5-Trinitro-1,3,5-triazinane), TNT (Trinitrotoluene), DNT

Analytical Technique		Acronym Targeted Explosive	LOD	Advantages	Drawbacks
Thin Layer Chromatography	TLC	Organic	μ g/g	Easy-to-use, Cost-effective	Low resolution and sensitivity, susceptible to contaminants
Ion Chromatography	IC	Inorganic	ng/g	Reliable	Only inorganic ions can be identified
Ion Mobility Spectrometry	IMS	Organic and inor- ganic	ng/g	High Sensitivity	The sample needs to be ionized
High Performance Liquid Chromatography with UV detector	HPLC- UV	Organic	ng/g	Moderate Sensi- tivity	Moderate Selectivity
Gas chromatography with electron capture detector	$GC-$ ECD	Nitro-group Explo- sives	pg/g	Fast, High Selectivity, High Sensitivity	Requirement of volatile analytes, insensitivity to hydroxy carbons
Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	FTIR	Organic and inor- ganic	pg/g	Universal	Complex Spectra
Mass Spectrometry	MS	Organic and inor- ganic	pg/g to ng/g	High Sensitivity, High Selectivity	Difficulty in interpreting the spectra
Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry	GC-MS	Organic	pg/g to ng/g	High Sensitivity, High Selectivity	Requirement of volatile analytes
Direct-Analysis-in-Re- al-Time and Mass Spectrom- etry	DART- MS	Organic	pg/g	High Sensitivity	Difficulty in interpreting the spectra
Liquid Chromatography - Tandem Mass Spectrometry	LC-MS/ MS	Organic	pg/g to fg/g	High Sensitivity	Complex Spectra

Table 1- *Analytical Techniques used for the analysis of Explosives [7-13].*

(2,4-Dinitrotoluene), PETN (PentaErythritol TetraNitrate), Nitro Cellulose, Nitro Glycerin, Cordite, Tetryl and three soil samples were received from the explosives cell, Delhi (pen name). Table-2 indicates the chemical and physical structures of these standards [9].

The chemicals alpha-naphthylamine, sulphanilic acid, acetic acid, sulphuric acid, diphenylamine, potassium hydroxide, ammonium nitrate and the solvents ethanol, methanol, acetonitrile and water were used for this analytical study. The chemicals were of ACS (American Chemical Society) grade with percentage purity >=95%. The solvents used were of HPLC (High Performance Liquid Chromatography) grade. The Millipore membrane filter with a 47 mm diameter and 0.22μ m pore size was used for filtration of the sample.

2.2. Sample Description

The presence of different metallurgic inorganic materials, organic substances, liquids and gases affect the physical and chemical properties of soil, making it a complex matrix. The physical and chemical properties of soil interfere with post-blast residue analysis [12, 13]. Hence some of these properties from the post-blast soil sample (S1, S2, S3) were determined. Table-3 indicates the physical and chemical properties of post-blast soil samples.

2.3. Extraction of post-blast residue

ASE (Accelerated Solvent Extraction) method was used to extract the post-blast explosive residue from the soil sample. ASE is a solvent extraction system that uses a combination of tem-

Standard	Chemical Name	Chemical Structure	Physical Appearance
$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}$	1,3,5-Trinitroperhydro-1,3,5-triazine	O ₂ N NO ₂ NO ₂	
$\ensuremath{\mathsf{TNT}}$	2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene		
DNT	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	CH ₃ NO ₂ NO ₂	
PETN	Pentaerythritol tetranitrate	О= ő	
TETRYL	2,4,6-trinitrophenyl-n methylnitramine	$H_3C \begin{matrix} N & NO_2 \\ N & N \end{matrix}$ O_2N NO ₂	

Table 2- *Structure of different explosive standards.* **Table 2 - Structure of different explosive standards. Table 2 - Structure of different explosive standards.**

Table 3- *Physical and chemical properties of post-blast soil samples.*

5

perature (RT or 40-200 ºC), pressure (100 bar - fixed) and standard liquid solvents to extract solid and semi-solid samples (1-100 g). This extraction technique was preferred for this analytical technique as it requires a significantly lower amount of organic solvent, providing low cost per extraction and is able to extract the maximum target analyte. It takes less time and less manpower, providing a platform for automation. It is equivalent or superior to classical extraction methods like Soxhlet and sonication etc. In this study, Thermo Scientific Dionex ASE 350 Accelerated Solvent Extractor has been used for the extraction of explosives from the post-blast soil sample. Table-4 indicates ASE conditions for the extraction of unburned explosives from post-blast soil samples.

2.4. Preliminary analysis of post-blast residue by colour test

The analysis of post-blast residue by colour test offers a preliminary idea about the explosive material used in a bomb incident. In the present work,

four reagents are used for the colour test of the post-blast soil samples (S1, S2 & S3). Table-5 indicates the method of preparation of reagents [16].

2.5. Tentative identification of post-blast residue by Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)

TLC is the simplest separation technique well known for its rapidity, feasibility of visualizing all the components in UV light, cost effectiveness and less sample size [17]. In the present work, the postblast soil samples (S1, S2 & S3) were tentatively identified by comparing with standards of RDX and PETN (as from the colour test the samples were suspected to be RDX or PETN. A precoated TLC plate with Silica Gel-G as stationary phase activated at 1100C in the pre-heated oven was used in this work. The spotted plate was developed using

the mobile phase Trichloroethane and Acetonitrile at 9:1. The plate was observed under UV light using both short and long wavelength after development. The developed plate was sprayed using 10% ethanolic solution of Diphenyl amine reagent followed by exposure of the plate to UV light for about 20 mins.

2.6. Confirmatory analysis of post-blast residue by LC-MS/MS

LC-MS/MS is a reliable technique for the trace analysis of post-blast residue [18-20]. The confirmatory test for the analysis of post-blast residue was performed by the LC-MS/MS system (M/s Thermofisher Scientific, Germany). In this system the TSQ Quantum™ Access MAX Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer is interfaced with the Thermo Scientific Accela Ultra High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (UHPLC) system. The UH-PLC system comprises of a quaternary pump with operating pressure up to 1250 bar, an autosampler with 200 sample positions and a C18 reverse phase column (Hypersil Gold, Dimension-50 x 2.1 mm, particle size-1.9 μ m). The UHPLC system and the Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer are interfaced using Heated Electrospray Ionization system (HESI). The ions are detected by Electron Multiplier Tubes (EMT) in the mass spectrometer.

7

The solvents used in the preparation of the mobile phase are HPLC grade Methanol and water (Thermofisher Scienific). The HPLC grade Acetonitril (Thermofisher Scienific) is used for washing the autosampler. All the solvents, water and the extracted sample were further purified using a Millipore membrane filter (0.22 μ m pore size) before applying them to the LC-MS/MS. The column temperature was set at 450C. The mobile phase consisted of 2.0 mM aqueous ammonium nitrate (Merck) and methanol. Table-6 indicates the gradient pump program developed for a better chromatographic separation. Table-7 indicates the optimized MS parameters or tune method data set for confirmation of PETN in the post-blast residue.

 The highly pure N2 gas used as the sheath gas (1.6 L/min, 3.8 x 10-5 torr) and auxiliary gas (1.2 L/ min) are generated in the laboratory using a PEAK SCIENTIFIC NM30LA-MS Nitrogen Generator.

3. Results and Discussion

The observations of the colour test performed on the extracted sample are mentioned in Table-8.

Table 6- *UHPLC Solvent Gradient pump programme.*

Positive results were found when the post-blast soil samples (S1, S2 & S3) were tested with Griess Reagent, Diphenyl amine (DPA) Reagent and Thymol, dissolved in concentrated sulphuric acid.

The greenish grey spots were developed for extracted soil samples and standard PETN, while purplish grey with that of standard RDX. Figure-1(a) shows the developed TLC plate under UV light and Figure-1(b) shows the developed TLC plate after the application of the DPA reagent. The post-blast residue was tentatively identified to be PETN by the Thin Layer Chromatographic technique. The retention factor (Rf) was found to be 0.96.

The UHPLC column effluent was diverted to the HESI source at a flow rate of 300 μ I/min. In order to acquire the mass spectrum of the PETN standard and the extraction of the soil sample suspected to be PETN, Q1 scanning mode was performed. The retention times of the standard and sample were found to be 5.4 minutes each. The m/z with the highest relative abundance was found at 377 in each case. It was suspected to be the m/z of the PETN +Nitrate group. To confirm this an MS/MS analysis was done for 377 precursor ions. By SRM (Selected Reaction Monitoring) scan mode, the retention time for NO2 at m/z 62 was found to be 5.4 minutes. Hence the m/z 377 (considered 378, as negative ESI mode is used) was confirmed to be [PETN (316 g/mol) +Nitrate (62 g/mol)]. Table-9 indicates the MS/MS conditions for the identification of the selected analyte in LC tandem MS. Figure-2(a) shows the mass chromatogram of PETN standard. The retention time of the PETN standard was found to be 5.4 minutes. The Selected Reaction Monitoring (SRM) scan of PETN standard for mass 377.9 is shown in Figure-2(b). Figure-3(a), 4(a), 5(a) presents the mass chromatogram of extracted soil samples S1, S2 & S3, respectively. It is clear from the chromatogram that these three extracted soil samples S1, S2 & S3 have the same retention time, i.e., 5.4 minutes which is similar to the retention time of the PETN standard. Figure-3(b), 4(b) & 5(b) shows the mass spectra of the peak RT 5.4 of extracted soil samples S1, S2 & S3, respectively. For further confirmation, the SRM scan of product ion, i.e., NO3- ion was done. The chromatogram and SRM scan of NO3 ion are shown in Figure- 6(a) & 6(b) respectively. The retention time of the product ion, i.e., NO3- ion was also found to be 5.4 minutes.

The chromatogram of the standard PETN solution was compared with the chromatogram of the blank acetone, and the chromatogram of the postblast soil extract was compared with the

chromatogram of the blank soil extract for each analyte, to check the specificity. There was no interaction observed between the matrix and solvent at retention time 5.4 minutes. The recovery from this technique was found by the comparison of four pre-extracted & post-extracted samples, analyzed at four different concentrations, i.e., 250, 500, 750 and 1000 ng/g. The average recovery ranged from

Figure 1(a, b)- Thin Layer Chromatography of post-blast soil samples.

Figure 2(a)- *Mass Chromatogram of PETN standard.*

Figure 2(b)- *Selected Reaction Monitoring (SRM) scan of PETN Standard for m/z 377.*

Figure 3(a)- *Mass Chromatogram of extracted soil sample S1.*

Figure 3(b)- *Mass Spectra of extracted soil sample S1.*

Figure 4(a)- *Mass Chromatogram of extracted soil sample S2.*

Figure 4(b)- *Mass Spectra of extracted soil sample S2.*

Figure 5(a)- *Mass Chromatogram of extracted soil sample S3.*

Figure 5(b)- *Mass Spectrum of the peak RT 5.40 of extracted soil sample S3.*

Figure 6(a)- *Chromatogram for Nitrate Ion.*

Figure 6(b)- *Selected Reaction Monitoring (SRM) scan of Nitrate Ion at RT 5.40.*

14 Samal et al.

Table 9- MS/MS conditions for the identification of selected analyte in LC tandem MS.

83-96%. Repeatability was confirmed by analysing four sets of samples, with four injections for every sample. The Limit of Detection (LOD) was found based on a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) approach. The LOD was 7.9 ng/g at 3:1 signal-to-noise ratio.

4. Conclusion

Post-blast residue analysis is the most challenging field in forensic science. Forensic scientists face difficulties in the extraction of unburned explosives available in pico-gram level in complex soil matrices and their purification. This paper describes the extraction and purification method for the extraction of explosives from complex soil samples by the Accelerated Solvent Extraction (ASE) technique. The LC-MS/MS used in this work has proved to be an efficient technique for the confirmatory analysis of post-blast residue, due to its high accuracy, preci-

sion, resolution, selectivity, sensitivity, rapidity, and reproducibility. The high separation efficiency of UHPLC and the high specificity of MS/MS make it more reliable than other confirmatory techniques. The greatest challenge faced in using LC-MS/MS for post-blast debris analysis is the complexity in interpretation of mass spectra. Therefore, in the present study the targeted analyte was confirmed using the SRM scan mode available in the Triple Quadruple Mass Spectrometer.

In conclusion, we herewith report that, the ASE technique is the best extraction technique for the extraction of post-blast residue from soil samples, and LC-MS/MS is applicable for the qualitative analysis of post-blast residue because of its ultra-high sensitivity.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is neither any financial nor non-financial conflict of interest, nor any personal relationships that appear to influence the present work.

Source of funding

There is no such source of funding.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to the MHA (Ministry of Home Affairs) for providing them with the opportunity to work on this project at the National Institute of Criminology and Forensic Science, in a position of academic interest.

References

1. Lambert E, Nerbonne T, Watson PL, Buss J, Clarke A, Hogan N, Barton S, Lambert J. The forensic science needs of law enforcement applicants and recruits: A survey of Michigan law enforcement agencies. Journal of Criminal Justice Education. 2003 Mar 1;14(1):67-81. https:// doi.org/10.1080/10511250300085661

- 2. Kaplan J, Ling S, Cuellar M. Public beliefs about the accuracy and importance of forensic evidence in the United States. Science & Justice. 2020 May 1;60(3):263-72. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scijus.2020.01.001
- 3. Johns C, Shellie RA, Potter OG, O'Reilly JW, Hutchinson JP, Guijt RM, Breadmore MC, Hilder EF, Dicinoski GW, Haddad PR. Identification of homemade inorganic explosives by ion chromatographic analysis of post-blast residues. Journal of Chromatography A. 2008 Feb 29;1182(2):205-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chroma.2008.01.014
- 4. Benson SJ, Lennard CJ, Maynard P, Hill DM, Andrew AS, Roux C. Forensic analysis of explosives using isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS)—Preliminary study on TATP and PETN. Science & Justice. 2009 Jun 1;49(2):81-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2009.03.004
- 5. Benson SJ, Lennard CJ, Maynard P, Hill DM, Andrew AS, Roux C. Forensic analysis of explosives using isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS)—Discrimination of ammonium nitrate sources. Science & Justice. 2009 Jun 1;49(2):73- 80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2009.04.005
- 6. Marshall M. Post-blast detection issues. In-Aspects of Explosives Detection 2009 Jan 1 (pp. 223-243). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/ B978-0-12-374533-0.00011-8
- 7. Banas A, Banas K, Bahou M, Moser HO, Wen L, Yang P, Li ZJ, Cholewa M, Lim SK, Lim CH. Post-blast detection of traces of explosives by means of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. Vibrational Spectroscopy. 2009 Nov 10;51(2):168-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vibspec.2009.04.003
- 8. Huri MA, Ahmad UK, Ibrahim R, Omar M. A review of explosive residue detection from forensic chemistry perspective. Malaysian J. Anal. Sci.

2017;21(2):267-82. https://doi.org/10.17576/ mjas-2017-2102-01

- 9. Black C, D'Souza T, Smith JC, Hearns NG. Identification of post-blast explosive residues using direct-analysis-in-real-time and mass spectrometry (DART-MS). Forensic Chemistry. 2019 Dec 1;16:100185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. forc.2019.100185
- 10.Martín-Alberca C, Zapata F, Carrascosa H, Ortega-Ojeda FE, García-Ruiz C. Study of consumer fireworks post-blast residues by ATR-FTIR. Talanta. 2016 Mar 1;149:257-65. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.talanta.2015.11.070
- 11.Schachel TD, Stork A, Schulte-Ladbeck R, Vielhaber T, Karst U. Identification and differentiation of commercial and military explosives via high performance liquid chromatography–high resolution mass spectrometry (HPLC-HRMS), X-ray diffractometry (XRD) and X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF): Towards a forensic substance database on explosives. Forensic science international. 2020 Mar 1;308:110180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2020.110180
- 12.Sanchez C, Carlsson H, Colmsjö A, Crescenzi C, Batlle R. Determination of nitroaromatic compounds in air samples at femtogram level using C18 membrane sampling and on-line extraction with LC− MS. Analytical chemistry. 2003 Sep 1;75(17):4639-45. https://doi.org/10.1021/ ac034278w
- 13.Sisco E, Najarro M, Samarov D, Lawrence J. Quantifying the stability of trace explosives under different environmental conditions using electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. Talanta. 2017 Apr 1;165:10-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. talanta.2016.12.029
- 14.Kober SL, Hollert H, Frohme M. Quantifica-

tion of nitroaromatic explosives in contaminated soil using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry. 2019 Sep;411(23):5993-6003. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00216-019-01976-y

- 15.Mäkinen M, Nousiainen M, Sillanpää M. Ion spectrometric detection technologies for ultratraces of explosives: A review. Mass spectrometry reviews. 2011 Sep;30(5):940-73. https://doi. org/10.1002/mas.20308
- 16.Almog J, Zitrin S. Colorimetric detection of explosives. InAspects of Explosives Detection 2009 Jan 1 (pp. 41-58). Elsevier. https://doi. org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374533-0.00004-0
- 17.Yinon J. Explosives. InHandbook of Analytical Separations 2000 Jan 1 (Vol. 2, pp. 603-616). Elsevier Science BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1567-7192(00)80072-8
- 18.Ong TH, Mendum T, Geurtsen G, Kelley J, Ostrinskaya A, Kunz R. Use of mass spectrometric vapor analysis to improve canine explosive detection efficiency. Analytical Chemistry. 2017 Jun 20;89(12):6482-90. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acs.analchem.7b00451
- 19.Yinon J. Analysis and detection of explosives by mass spectrometry. InAspects of explosives detection 2009 Jan 1 (pp. 147-169). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374533- 0.00008-8
- 20.Verkouteren JR, Staymates JL. Reliability of ion mobility spectrometry for qualitative analysis of complex, multicomponent illicit drug samples. Forensic science international. 2011 Mar 20;206(1-3):190-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. forsciint.2010.08.005.