



Naif Arab University for Security Sciences

Arab Journal for Security Studies

المجلة العربية للدراسات الأمنية

<https://journals.nauss.edu.sa/index.php/ajss>

AJSS

The Wagner Group: Complex Web of Intrigue and Geopolitics Structure

مجموعة فاغنر: البنية الجيوسياسية لمجموعة فاغنر



CrossMark

حبيب بدوي¹، محمد دعبول²

¹الجامعة اللبنانية، بيروت، لبنان

²جامعة الجنان، بيروت، لبنان

Habib Badawi^{1*}, Mohamad Daabul²

¹Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon

²Jinan University, Beirut, Lebanon

Received on 13 May 2024, accepted on 26 Aug. 2024, available online on 14 Dec. 2024

Abstract

This study includes the mysterious World Wagner, a private military company, which is said to be related to Russian interests around the world. The authors also explore its emerging origins and implications, and seek to provide a comprehensive analysis of this secret organization, highlighting its origins, its key figures, its global record, and its countless challenges to security and diplomacy.

The methodology of this study includes collecting data from various primary and secondary sources, including official documents and academic literature. And analyze the data to identify major themes and patterns. The study conducts a comparative analysis of other private military companies, examines the legal framework, analyzes media coverage, and focuses on ethical considerations. In conclusion, the study presents a set of recommendations, including:

Strengthen international cooperation, create strong legal frameworks, and implement targeted sanctions to regulate private military companies such as: Wagner. Future research should explore case studies and socio-economic impacts.

Keywords: *security studies, global security dynamics, private military companies, Russian hybrid warfare, Wagner Group*



Production and hosting by NAUSS



المستخلص

تتناول هذه الدراسة العالم الغامض لمجموعة فاغنر، وهي شركة عسكرية خاصة، يُقال: إنها مرتبطة بالمصالح الروسية في جميع أنحاء العالم. كما يستكشف أصولها وعملياتها وآثارها، وتسعى إلى تقديم تحليل شامل لهذه المنظمة السرية، وتسليط الضوء على تطورها، وشخصياتها الرئيسية، وبصمتها العالمية، والتحديات التي تفرضها على الأمن والدبلوماسية الدوليين.

وتتضمن منهجية هذه الدراسة جمع البيانات من مصادر أولية وثانوية مختلفة، بما في ذلك الوثائق الرسمية والأدبيات الأكاديمية. وتحليل البيانات لتحديد الموضوعات والأنماط الرئيسية. وتجري الدراسة تحليلاً مقارناً للشركات العسكرية الخاصة الأخرى، وتفحص الإطار القانوني، وتحلل التغطية الإعلامية، وتركز على الاعتبارات الأخلاقية. وختاماً تقدم الدراسة مجموعة من التوصيات منها:

تعزيز التعاون الدولي، وإنشاء أطر قانونية قوية، وتطبيق عقوبات مستهدفة لتنظيم الشركات العسكرية الخاصة مثل: فاغنر. وينبغي للبحوث المستقبلية استكشاف دراسات الحالة والتأثيرات الاجتماعية والاقتصادية.

الكلمات المفتاحية: *الدراسات الأمنية، ديناميكيات الأمن العالمي، الشركات العسكرية الخاصة، الحرب الهجينة الروسية، مجموعة فاغنر*

* Corresponding Author: *Habib Badawi*

Email: habib.badawi@gmail.com

doi: [10.26735/PLCX8094](https://doi.org/10.26735/PLCX8094)

1. Introduction

Background and Significance of the Wagner Group

The Wagner Group has gained significant attention for its controversial involvement in global conflicts such as those in Eastern Ukraine, Syria, and Libya, where it engages in combat, security services, and resource extraction. Closely aligned with Russian interests, it is often perceived as an extension of the Russian government, underscoring its strategic role in areas where direct state intervention is politically sensitive (Ramani, 2022).

A hallmark of the Wagner Group is its use of hybrid warfare tactics, blending conventional military operations with irregular methods to provide plausible deniability for state actors. This approach allows Russia to operate in conflict zones without direct attribution, complicating international responses (Rosdal, 2005). The group's activities have notably influenced regional stability, often exacerbated tensions, and shifted power dynamics in conflict areas, particularly in Syria and Libya. The Wagner Group's internal structure remains largely secretive, with little publicly available information about its leadership, despite links to figures like Yevgeny Prigozhin. Founded in the early 2010s by Dmitry Utkin, a retired military officer with connections to Russian intelligence, the group has evolved into one of the most prominent private military companies, advancing Russian geopolitical interests in areas where traditional military interventions are diplomatically sensitive (Kozhanov, 2021).

Wagner's operations raise critical questions about its connections to the Russian state and whether it acts as an independent entity or an unofficial arm of Russian foreign policy. Many analysts view the group as integral to Russia's broader strategy of global power projection while maintaining plausible deni-

ability (Rondeaux, 2019). Furthermore, the Wagner Group's global footprint has expanded rapidly, intensifying international concerns about the role of private military companies as instruments of state power and their influence on modern warfare (Port, 2021).

The Wagner Group's mutiny and the subsequent assassination of its leader represent a pivotal shift in the relationship between the group and the Russian state. The mutiny is not simply an act of defiance; it exposes deeper tensions between the personal ambitions of Wagner's leadership and the Kremlin's strategic objectives, which have long been a murky mystery surrounding the relationship. Historically, Wagner has served as a tool for Russia to expand its influence outside official channels, playing critical roles in conflicts from Ukraine to Africa. However, the mutiny has exposed the dangers of relying on quasi-independent military entities capable of challenging state authority. The group's future—and its ability to maintain its operational independence—now depends on how the Russian government recalibrates its control over Wagner and similar private military enterprises. This development requires a rethinking of the dynamics between the state and such groups, which have long blurred the lines between private ambition and state interests.

2. Research Objectives and Questions

This research explores the Wagner Group from multiple angles, including its origins, global influence, ties to the Russian government, security challenges, legal standing, and media representation. By the conclusion, a comprehensive political analysis will provide clarity on the complex and often ambiguous nature of the Wagner Group, offering insights into its role on the global stage.



Understanding the Wagner Group requires a deep dive into its historical origins, tracing back to its formation to better contextualize its modern evolution. It is essential to examine the key figures within the organization, as well as the internal dynamics that drive its operations. Furthermore, analyzing the group's global presence and scope helps highlight its far-reaching influence and impact, not only within Russia but across multiple conflict zones and geopolitical hotspots. A thorough assessment of the Wagner Group's operations is crucial to grasping the breadth of its activities. This includes identifying the regions in which it operates and the range of missions it undertakes. From combat involvement to resource extraction, Wagner's varied operations are central to understanding the group's role in shaping regional conflicts and its influence on the political and economic landscapes.

A key component of this research is an examination of the Wagner Group's relationship with the Russian government. Investigating the links between the group and the Russian state provides critical insights into its motivations and the extent to which it operates as an unofficial extension of Russian foreign policy. Understanding this relationship also helps clarify the broader geopolitical strategies at play and the group's function within them.

Security challenges posed by the Wagner Group are another focal point of the research. Evaluating its capabilities and strategies, alongside its involvement in human rights abuses and criminal activities, is necessary to assess its role as a security threat. Additionally, exploring its network connections with other actors underscores the evolving nature of global security concerns and the need for coordinated responses to address these challenges.

The controversies and legal status surrounding the Wagner Group are also vital to this study. As a private military company operating in a gray area of international law, Wagner's legal standing is ambiguous. Scrutinizing the criminal allegations against the group, along with the responses from international organizations and governments, helps clarify its legal status and the broader implications for the regulation of private military companies.

Finally, the media and public perception of the Wagner Group play a significant role in shaping its reputation. Analyzing how the group is portrayed in various media outlets provides insight into the narratives surrounding private military companies and their impact on global security. This research will assess the influence of media representation on public opinion, shedding light on how Wagner is viewed by both the public and policymakers.

3. Methodology

The research methodology for this study on the Wagner Group and private military companies (PMCs) employs a multi-faceted approach to ensure a comprehensive and rigorous analysis. At its core, the study relies on an extensive literature review, delving into academic sources, scholarly articles, and research papers focused on PMCs, international security, and conflict studies. This foundation of theoretical and empirical knowledge is complemented by a meticulous documentary analysis, examining official documents, government reports, and legal statements pertaining to the Wagner Group's operations and legal status.

To contextualize the Wagner Group within the broader PMC landscape, a comparative analysis is conducted. This approach explores the similarities and differences between the Wagner Group and



other PMCs, shedding light on industry trends, organizational structures, and relationships with state actors. The research further strengthens its validity through triangulation, a method that cross-references information from diverse sources, including academic literature, official documents, and media reports.

Data for this study is drawn from a variety of reputable sources. In addition to academic literature, the research incorporates insights from media reports, investigative journalism, and publicly available information from think tanks and non-governmental organizations. These diverse perspectives enrich the analysis, offering a multifaceted view of the Wagner Group's activities and connections.

Throughout the research process, ethical considerations remain paramount. This includes ensuring the confidentiality of sensitive information, respecting privacy rights, transparently addressing any potential conflicts of interest, and maintaining objectivity in the analysis and interpretation of findings. By adhering to these ethical standards, the study aims to produce reliable and credible results that contribute meaningfully to the understanding of PMCs and the Wagner Group in particular.

4. The Wagner Group: Origins and Evolution

Historical Context of Private Military Companies PMCs in Russia

The roots of private military companies PMCs in Russia stretch deep into the nation's history, intertwining with centuries of geopolitical strategy and military innovation. While the modern incarnation of PMCs, exemplified by the Wagner Group, emerged in the post-Soviet era, their existence is a continua-

tion of Russia's long-standing practice of employing non-state military forces to achieve its objectives. This tradition dates to imperial times when irregular units Suchas the Cossacks played pivotal roles in securing and expanding Russian territory, laying the groundwork for today's approach to private military forces (Giles & Akimenko, 2019).

The collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991 marked a turning point in the evolution of Russian military strategy. Faced with severe economic challenges and a weakened military infrastructure, the newly formed Russian Federation was compelled to explore alternative models of military support. The 1990s saw the rise of early private military initiatives, primarily focused on providing security, logistics, and equipment maintenance services for the military (Jäger & Kümmel, 2009). These nascent efforts set the stage for the development of more formalized PMCs, which would later prove instrumental in addressing the gaps left by the diminished state military apparatus.

Economic considerations and involvement in regional conflicts further accelerated the growth of PMCs in Russia. The financial constraints of the post-Soviet era made it difficult for the government to maintain a full-scale military presence in various conflict zones. PMCs offered a cost-effective alternative, allowing the state to outsource specific military functions without the burden of deploying official state forces. Moreover, these private entities provided Russia with a means to engage in conflicts while maintaining plausible deniability, as they often operated without direct official ties to the government.

The development of Russian PMCs was also influenced by global trends, particularly the success of Western PMCs in conflicts worldwide. Russian policymakers sought to replicate this model, recog-



nizing the value of flexible, privately contracted forces capable of operating in diverse environments. This global perspective contributed significantly to the adoption of PMCs as a strategic tool for military outsourcing in Russia.

It is important to note that during the Soviet era, the state's military doctrine emphasized centralized, state-controlled forces, and the use of private or irregular military actors diminished significantly (Lieven, 1995). The Soviet military model prioritized a command structure where independent military forces had no formal role, in stark contrast to earlier periods in Russian history and the practices that would emerge in the post-Soviet era.

As PMCs gained prominence, the legal framework governing their operations began to take shape in the early 2000s. Regulatory efforts aimed to define the legal status, responsibilities, and limitations of these entities while ensuring they operated within a controlled framework. These laws attempted to strike a balance between the need for military outsourcing and the state's desire for oversight and control (Belousov & Vlasov, 2010).

The transition from the Soviet Union to the Russian Federation brought about significant changes in military policy and public perception. The economic instability of the 1990s necessitated a more flexible approach to military operations, leading to the rise of PMCs. However, this shift also sparked public and political debates about transparency, accountability, and the potential influence of private entities on national security. Despite these concerns, PMCs have continued to play a critical role in Russia's military strategy.

The Wagner Group, in this context, represents the culmination of centuries of Russian reliance on irregular forces. Its emergence reflects both histor-

ical practices and modern geopolitical strategies, blending economic necessity with the state's need for deniability in foreign conflicts. Understanding the Wagner Group requires viewing it through the lens of Russia's long tradition of outsourcing military power to private and semi-private entities. While modern PMCs have adapted to contemporary needs, their roots in Russian history remain evident, embodying a complex legacy that continues to shape the nation's military and geopolitical landscape.

4.1 The Emergence of the Wagner Group

The rise of the Wagner Group is a testament to the complex interplay of historical, geopolitical, and economic factors that shaped post-Soviet Russia. This enigmatic organization, operating often in the shadows, has emerged as a significant player in the global private military landscape. To comprehend its origins, one must delve into the tumultuous events surrounding the collapse of the Soviet Union, the economic challenges that plagued Russia in the 1990s, and the subsequent evolution of the nation's military capabilities.

The dissolution of the Soviet Union in 1991 ushered in an era of profound transformation for Russia. The country grappled with the monumental task of transitioning from a planned to a market-oriented economy, a shift that brought with it significant economic instability and resource constraints. The military, once a symbol of Soviet might, found itself facing severe budget cuts and struggling to maintain its capabilities amid widespread economic difficulties. This precarious situation necessitated a fundamental re-evaluation of military resources and strategies.

It was against this backdrop of economic turmoil that the concept of outsourcing military functions to



private entities began to gain traction. In the early post-Soviet period, private security and logistics companies started providing services to the military. While these entities were not formally recognized as private military companies PMCs, they laid the crucial groundwork for the more structured use of private military forces in the years to come.

The Wagner Group's precise origins remain shrouded in secrecy, but it is believed to have begun taking shape in the early 2010s. The group drew its expertise from individuals with backgrounds in military and security operations, including veterans of special operations units. This blend of specialized skills contributed significantly to the group's operational capabilities and effectiveness in the field.

The rise of the Wagner Group was not an isolated phenomenon but was influenced by global trends in private military services. The success of Western PMCs in various conflicts around the world showcased their flexibility and operational efficiency. Russian policymakers, keen observers of these developments, sought to adopt similar models to enhance their own military capabilities and address existing resource limitations within the Russian military structure.

Initially, the Wagner Group focused on providing security services, training, and logistics support. Reports indicate that the group's early activities included protecting Russian assets abroad, securing energy infrastructure, and participating in military training exercises. Over time, however, its operational scope expanded significantly to include direct involvement in conflict zones, marking a notable evolution in its role and capabilities.

The Wagner Group's involvement in various conflict zones where Russian interests align with geopolitical dynamics has drawn considerable in-

ternational attention and controversy. Its participation in both security and combat operations in regions such as Eastern Ukraine, Syria, and Libya has raised critical questions about its role and the implications for global security.

While often characterized as a private military entity, the Wagner Group's connections to the Russian government have been the subject of intense speculation. Reports suggesting ties to influential figures within the Russian security establishment have fueled debates about the extent of governmental support or tacit approval for its operations. As the Wagner Group gained visibility on the global stage, it reportedly evolved its organizational structure and expanded its recruitment pool, incorporating experienced military personnel and foreign fighters. This adaptability reflects the group's responsiveness to changing geopolitical conditions and the diverse needs of its operations.

The emergence of the Wagner Group has not been without its challenges and controversies. Its involvement in conflict zones has faced criticism for alleged human rights abuses, while its opaque nature has led to increased international scrutiny. These controversies complicate the understanding of the group's role and its legal and operational status in the international arena.

In essence, the rise of the Wagner Group is deeply rooted in the economic turmoil and military reforms of post-Soviet Russia. Its journey from its formative years to active engagement in conflict zones underscores the adaptive nature of private military entities amid Russia's evolving military landscape. As the group's activities continue to attract global attention, they exemplify the intricate and often contentious relationship between state-con-



trolled military operations and private military forces in the pursuit of national interests.

4. 2 Leadership and Key Figures

The leadership and key figures within the Wagner Group add layers of complexity to this enigmatic private military entity. While the group is renowned for its secretive nature, piecing together information about its leadership offers invaluable insights into the individuals who have played pivotal roles in shaping the group's operations and strategies.

The secretive nature of the Wagner Group extends to its leadership structure, which is often shrouded in mystery. This opacity makes it challenging to ascertain precise details about its hierarchy. However, it is widely believed that the group operates under a leadership structure composed of individuals with extensive military backgrounds, including veterans with experience in special operations (Wagner, 2021). The identities of key figures are often shielded from public scrutiny, contributing to the difficulty in obtaining concrete information about those at the helm of the organization (Danese et al., 2018).

Understanding the backgrounds of key figures within the Wagner Group involves exploring the military and security service histories of individuals associated with its leadership. Many key figures are reported to be former members of elite military units or have backgrounds in security and intelligence services. These individuals bring a wealth of experience and expertise to the group's operations, significantly influencing its capabilities and strategic approach (Wong et al., 2003). The leadership of the Wagner Group is presumed to play central roles in the strategic planning and execution of operations. Their expertise in military tactics, security, and intelligence

likely contributes to the group's ability to operate in complex and challenging environments. The group's involvement in conflict zones and its impact on regional stability highlight the strategic significance of its leadership (Hahn & Powers, 2010). While the decision-making dynamics within the Wagner Group remain undisclosed, it is assumed that key figures within the leadership play crucial roles in formulating and executing strategic decisions. The ability to adapt to changing geopolitical contexts and engage in diverse operations reflects a level of strategic acumen among the leadership (Fatima et al., 2023).

As the Wagner Group has expanded its operations and become more visible on the international stage, there are indications of the evolution of its leadership. Reports suggest that the group has adapted its recruitment strategies, drawing not only from Russian military veterans but also incorporating foreign fighters into its ranks. This evolution reflects the group's flexibility and capacity to engage with a changing operational landscape (Metcalf & Benn, 2013).

The leadership and key figures within the Wagner Group not only shape its operational strategies but also influence the overall dynamics of the organization. Their leadership style, decision-making processes, and ability to navigate geopolitical complexities contribute significantly to the group's identity and modus operandi. However, the leadership of the Wagner Group is not without challenges and controversy. The group has faced international scrutiny and condemnation for its involvement in conflict zones, with allegations of human rights abuses and atrocities. The lack of transparency regarding the leadership adds to the controversies surrounding the group (Sanders, 2018). Moreover, speculation surrounds the extent of the Wagner Group's connections to the Russian state. While officially char-



acterized as a private military entity, reports suggest that key figures within the group have ties to influential individuals within the Russian security establishment. This raises questions about the group's autonomy and the potential for state sponsorship or support (Lamberova & Sonin, 2018).

Accordingly, the leadership and key figures within the Wagner Group form a crucial element in understanding the entity's operations, adaptability, and impact on regional and international security. The secretive nature of the group, coupled with the challenges and controversies it faces, underscores the intricate relationship between private military entities, state actors, and the individuals who shape their destinies. As the group continues to operate in various conflict zones, the role of its leadership in navigating complex geopolitical landscapes remains a subject of intense interest and scrutiny in the international community.

4.3 Evolution of Wagner's Operations

The evolution of Wagner Group's operations represents a complex trajectory marked by adaptation to changing geopolitical landscapes, expansion of activities, and controversies surrounding its engagements in various conflict zones. To fully comprehend the evolution of Wagner's operations, one must examine their historical context, emergence, and transformation over time.

The roots of Wagner Group can be traced to the tumultuous post-Soviet era in Russia. Following the Soviet Union's collapse in 1991, Russia underwent profound political, economic, and military transformations. The imperative to modernize and restructure the Russian military, combined with significant economic challenges, fostered an environment conducive to the emergence of private military entities.

It was within this context that Wagner Group is believed to have emerged in the early 2010s, drawing its initial strength from individuals with backgrounds in Russian special forces and focusing on providing security, training, and logistical support, often in service of protecting Russian assets abroad.

As Wagner's influence grew, its operations expanded dramatically in both scope and geographical reach. The group gained notoriety for its involvement in various conflict zones, particularly in Eastern Ukraine, Syria, and Libya. In Eastern Ukraine, Wagner operatives reportedly supported pro-Russian separatist forces, while in Syria, they played a crucial role in bolstering the Assad regime. Their engagement in Libya further entangled them in the complex web of factions vying for control. These activities extended far beyond traditional security services, encompassing roles in military operations, resource extraction, and even participation in exercises simulating full-scale warfare.

Beyond traditional military roles, Wagner has been intricately linked to business ventures and resource extraction in conflict zones. Reports suggest their involvement in securing and overseeing energy infrastructure and mining operations, adding a layer of complexity to their activities by blurring the lines between security provision and profit-seeking behavior. This intertwining of military operations with economic interests has raised questions about the group's true objectives and allegiances.

The relationship between Wagner Group and the Russian government remains a subject of intense speculation. While officially characterized as a private military entity, persistent questions surround the extent of Wagner's connections to the Russian state. Speculation abounds regarding potential ties to influential figures within the Russian security estab-



ishment, raising critical questions about the degree of state sponsorship or support the group receives.

Throughout its evolution, Wagner has demonstrated remarkable adaptability while facing numerous challenges. Reports indicate changes in recruitment strategies, including the incorporation of foreign fighters, reflecting an ability to adjust to the evolving geopolitical landscape. Accusations of human rights abuses, including atrocities and involvement in illegal activities, have led to international scrutiny and condemnation, contributing to ongoing debates about the accountability of private military entities in conflict zones. Wagner's evolution has also seen an expanding global footprint, with reported activities in various countries eliciting diverse responses from the international community, including sanctions imposed by some nations. The group's operations have become a focal point in discussions about the role of private military companies in global security and the challenges they pose to diplomatic efforts and regional stability.

Accordingly, the evolution of Wagner Group represents a multifaceted journey that reflects the changing nature of modern conflict and the complex interplay between private military entities and state interests. From its emergence in post-Soviet Russia to its status as a controversial global actor, Wagner's story raises critical questions about the role of private military entities in contemporary security dynamics and underscores the urgent need for international frameworks to address their impact on global stability.

4. 4 The Wagner Group's Relationship With the Russian Government

The Wagner Group, ostensibly a private military company PMC, has garnered attention for its

involvement in various conflict zones across the globe, including Syria, Ukraine, and Libya. However, the extent of its ties to the Russian government, particularly to elements within the military and intelligence agencies, has raised questions about its true nature and purpose. This introduction will explore the dynamics of the Wagner Group's relationship with the Russian government, examining whether it operates as an independent entity or as a de facto extension of Russian state power.

The Wagner Group is widely believed to have ties to the Russian government, particularly elements within the Russian military and intelligence agencies (Marten, 2019). While officially classified as a private military company PMC, its activities and close coordination with Russian military operations in various conflict zones suggest significant state sponsorship. This connection allows Russia to engage in global conflicts indirectly, masking its involvement and retaining a degree of plausible deniability.

Despite its private status, the Wagner Group's leadership and operations are intricately linked to the Kremlin. Reports indicate that the organization's founder, Dmitry Utkin, is a former member of Russia's military intelligence agency, the GRU. Numerous instances of high-level Russian officials acknowledging the group's activities further confirm its ties to the government. This overlap blurs the distinction between the group's private and state functions, cementing its role as a proxy force for the Russian state.

The involvement of the Wagner Group in conflicts such as Syria Eastern, Ukraine, and Libya align with Russia's broader strategic objectives in these regions. By employing a proxy force like the Wagner Group, the Kremlin can extend its influence, sup-



port allied regimes, and counter perceived threats without resorting to direct military engagement. This strategy offers Russia the benefit of avoiding the international repercussions of open military intervention while still achieving its geopolitical goals.

International responses to the Wagner Group's activities have been marked by condemnation and sanctions. Western countries have imposed targeted sanctions on individuals and entities associated with the organization, aiming to deter further aggressive actions and hold accountable those involved in human rights abuses and destabilizing activities (Górka, 2023). However, the effectiveness of these measures is often limited, as the group operates discreetly and adapts to changing circumstances, allowing it to continue its operations despite international pressure. Overall, the Wagner Group's relationship with the Russian government is complex, blurring the lines between state-sponsored activities and private enterprise. Its close ties to the Kremlin have significant implications for Russian foreign policy, prompting international scrutiny and responses aimed at curtailing its influence.

5. Wagner Group as an International Security Challenge

The Wagner Group, a private military company with alleged ties to the Russian government, presents a significant security threat in conflict zones across the globe. Operating with impunity, the group undermines regional stability, engages in human rights violations, and fosters criminal networks. Its activities not only contribute to instability but also violate international security norms. The group's actions require a comprehensive strategy, combining international cooperation and targeted measures to address the security risks it poses.

Efforts to counter the Wagner Group's influence must involve a multifaceted approach. Diplomatic pressure and targeted sanctions are essential to curtail its operations, while support for local authorities and civil society organizations can enhance resistance to its destabilizing activities. Furthermore, international collaboration is critical in disrupting the group's financial networks and holding its members accountable for their actions. Addressing the underlying causes of the Wagner Group's influence—such as political instability and weak governance—will help mitigate the security risks it poses and contribute to greater regional stability (Lewis, 2022).

Beyond its military engagements, the Wagner Group is deeply involved in various criminal enterprises. These include smuggling, human trafficking, and illicit resource extraction. These activities not only fund the group's operations but also contribute to the expansion of organized crime, which undermines the rule of law in the affected regions. The proliferation of such criminal networks exacerbates the security challenges in conflict zones, making it harder to establish peace and stability.

The Wagner Group has also been implicated in numerous human rights violations, including indiscriminate attacks on civilians, extrajudicial killings, and torture. These atrocities violate international humanitarian law and result in immense suffering for local populations. The group's disregard for basic human rights principles further exacerbates the security challenges in the regions where it operates. Addressing these violations is crucial to curbing the Wagner Group's harmful impact and promoting accountability for its members.

Accordingly, the Wagner Group represents a grave security challenge with far-reaching implica-



tions for global stability. Its involvement in conflict zones perpetuates violence, human rights abuses, and criminality. Addressing these challenges requires international cooperation, diplomatic efforts, and support for local actors. The disruption of the Wagner Group's financial and criminal networks is also crucial for reducing its influence. By addressing the root causes of its activities and promoting peacebuilding initiatives, the international community can help mitigate the security risks posed by the Wagner Group and foster greater stability in conflict-affected regions. Failure to act may lead to further destabilization and humanitarian crises, underscoring the urgency of confronting this significant threat.

5. 1 Controversies and the Legal Status

The Wagner Group's activities have generated significant controversy and raised pressing questions regarding its legal status within the international community. As a private military company PMC, it operates in conflict zones around the world, often under ambiguous legal frameworks and amidst serious allegations of criminal conduct. This section explores the legal dimensions surrounding PMCs, the accusations against the Wagner Group, its recognition (or lack thereof) by international bodies, and Ukraine's resolution specifically addressing the group's activities. By examining these issues, we gain insight into the complex legal and ethical dilemmas posed by private military actors in contemporary warfare.

The Wagner Group has faced numerous accusations of criminal conduct, including involvement in human rights abuses, arms trafficking, and other illicit activities (Pokalova, 2023). These allegations highlight the inherent challenges in regulating PMCs

and ensuring their operations remain within the bounds of national and international law. The lack of transparency and oversight in the activities of such groups complicates accountability and raises critical concerns about their impact on conflict situations.

Despite its controversial reputation, the Wagner Group has not been officially recognized by international bodies as a legitimate military entity. This ambiguous legal status further complicates efforts to address its activities and hold its members accountable for violations of international law. The lack of formal recognition means that traditional mechanisms for accountability and oversight may not apply, leaving a legal gray area that can be exploited by PMCs like the Wagner Group.

The legal framework governing PMCs is a subject of ongoing debate, as international law struggles to keep pace with the evolving nature of modern conflict. The absence of clear legal regulations regarding the activities of PMCs complicates efforts to hold them accountable and raises questions about their compliance with international humanitarian law. The need for a robust legal framework that addresses the specific challenges posed by PMCs has become increasingly urgent, particularly as these entities become more involved in conflicts around the world.

In response to the Wagner Group's activities in Eastern Ukraine, the Ukrainian government has taken steps to address the threat posed by the group. This includes passing resolutions condemning its actions and calling for international support to counter its influence in the region. Such initiatives reflect a growing recognition of the need for collective action to mitigate the challenges posed by private military actors in conflict zones.

The controversies surrounding the Wagner



Group underscore the complexities of regulating PMCs and addressing their activities within the framework of international law. Efforts to hold such entities accountable face numerous challenges, including jurisdictional issues and the lack of clear legal frameworks. However, recognizing these challenges emphasizes the necessity for greater international cooperation and dialogue to address the legal and ethical dilemmas posed by private military actors. Failure to confront these issues risks undermining the integrity of international law and exacerbating the security challenges presented by PMCs like the Wagner Group.

5. 2 Yevgeny Prigozhin: The Enigmatic Figure Behind the Wagner Group

Yevgeny Prigozhin was considered a mysterious and controversial figure in the Russian and international arenas. There are also many questions about his actual role in many affairs, including his relationship with the Wagner Group and his involvement in international conflicts. Although he denies any direct involvement in the activities of the Wagner Group, there is numerous evidence and assumptions indicating links between him and the group, whether through personal relationships or financial resources. Prigozhin's close relationship with Russian President Vladimir Putin and his involvement in a variety of businesses is a focus of interest for international political observers and analysts. In addition, the sanctions imposed by the United States and the European Union on him reflect the extent of concern about his activities and his impact on international events.

Early Career

The ascent of Yevgeny Prigozhin in the Russian business world remains largely enigmatic, with lim-

ited information available about his early life and education. His emergence as a prominent figure coincided with the dissolution of the Soviet Union in the early 1990s, a period marked by unprecedented economic transformation in Russia that created opportunities for ambitious entrepreneurs.

Prigozhin's initial foray into the business world came through Concord Catering, a venture that would prove instrumental in establishing his reputation and connections. By securing contracts with prestigious clients, including the Kremlin itself, Concord Catering became the cornerstone of Prigozhin's empire and served as a gateway to developing crucial relationships with Russia's political elite. This strategic positioning enabled him to expand his influence beyond the culinary world and into more diverse and lucrative sectors.

As his influence grew, Prigozhin demonstrated remarkable acumen in diversifying his business interests. He ventured into mining, finance, and media, showcasing an innate ability to navigate and capitalize on Russia's evolving business landscape. His expansion into the mining sector allowed him to tap into Russia's vast natural resources, significantly amplifying his wealth and status. The strategic move into media further solidified his position, providing him with tools to shape public opinion and strengthen his political and economic connections.

Central to Prigozhin's meteoric rise was his relationship with President Vladimir Putin—a connection that opened doors to unprecedented opportunities and further expanded his business interests. This association, while beneficial for his empire's growth, also cast a controversial shadow over his activities. Perhaps the most contentious aspect of Prigozhin's career was his alleged involvement with the Wagner Group, a private military compa-



ny operating in various conflict zones aligned with Russian interests. Despite his persistent denials of direct involvement, international observers have consistently linked him to the group's activities, contributing to his reputation as a shadowy yet influential figure in Russian geopolitics.

The controversial nature of Prigozhin's activities has not gone unnoticed by the international community. His alleged ties to the Wagner Group, among other activities, have resulted in sanctions from both the United States and the European Union. These punitive measures have complicated his international business dealings and further cemented his status as a controversial figure on the global stage. However, they have done little to diminish his influence within Russia's borders.

Prigozhin's career trajectory exemplifies the complex interplay between business acumen and political influence in contemporary Russia. His ability to leverage commercial ventures into political and security spheres has made him a pivotal figure in both Russian economic and geopolitical arenas. From his humble beginnings in the catering industry to his status as a sanctioned oligarch with alleged ties to private military operations, Prigozhin's journey illustrates the intricate and often controversial relationships between business, politics, and power in modern Russia.

5.3 The Wagner Group: A Prigozhin Enterprise

Yevgeny Prigozhin's association with the Wagner Group reveals a complex web of business, political, and military connections. Despite his public denials, extensive investigations and reports suggest that Prigozhin had Played a significant role in supporting and coordinating the activities of this private

military company. This has raised questions about his influence and the broader role of the Wagner Group in advancing Russian political interests.

Prigozhin had repeatedly denied direct involvement with the Wagner Group. However, multiple sources indicate that he provides financial backing and may coordinate the group's operations. His extensive business interests, particularly in sectors like mining and media, along with his deep political connections, offer a plausible infrastructure for supporting the Wagner Group's activities. These networks enable Prigozhin to potentially direct the group's operations in a way that aligns with Russian state objectives (Davlyatchin, 2019).

Prigozhin's alleged involvement with the Wagner Group suggests he played a critical role in furthering Russian influence globally, particularly in regions where overt military intervention could trigger international backlash. Through Wagner, Prigozhin helped to advance Russia's geopolitical objectives, especially in areas where control of natural resources or strategic military positions is critical. By operating under the guise of a private entity, Wagner provides Russia with a level of plausible deniability, allowing it to pursue its interests without direct accountability (Davlyatchin, 2019). Although the precise nature of Prigozhin's relationship with the Russian government and military remains officially unclear, his close connections to President Vladimir Putin and other high-ranking officials suggest a strong alignment with state interests. His companies had consistently secured lucrative government contracts, reinforcing the perception that his private ventures and Russia's national strategies are intricately linked. This intersection of confidential business and state-sponsored initiatives paints Prigozhin as a pivotal figure in Russian power dy-



namics, operating at the crossroads of business, politics, and military affairs (Sovoboda, 2022).

Prigozhin's career and his alleged association with the Wagner Group underscore the intricate and often secretive relationships that characterize contemporary Russian power structures. His business ventures, coupled with his political alliances, positioned him as a key player in both Russia's domestic landscape and its global strategies.

6. Evaluation of the Impact of Prigozhin's Activities on Global Security

Yevgeny Prigozhin's activities, particularly his alleged involvement with private military companies like the Wagner Group, have profoundly impacted global security, created new challenges, and reshaped the dynamics of conflict and power. One of the primary concerns was the challenge these groups pose to traditional security models. Historically, military power was the domain of nation-states, but the rise of private military companies (PMCs) complicates this framework. Figures like Prigozhin lead organizations that operate outside the boundaries of conventional state control, necessitating new mechanisms for oversight, accountability, and global security regulation.

In conflict zones such as Syria and Ukraine, Prigozhin's alleged support for private military activities had significantly contributed to instability. The Wagner Group's involvement in these areas, with its access to sophisticated weapons and well-trained personnel, often prolongs conflicts and exacerbates violence. This not only complicates peace-building efforts but also intensifies the human toll, as mercenaries act in ways that may be less constrained by international norms than regular military forces.

Another important aspect is the erosion of state sovereignty. The increasing reliance on PMCs like

the Wagner Group blurs the line between state and non-state actors, raising concerns about the ability of governments to maintain control over security functions. As private actors gain more influence in conflict zones, the traditional notion of national sovereignty weakens, especially when these groups operate with little oversight. Prigozhin's activities highlight this trend, as his involvement in military operations across different regions showcases the growing power of non-state entities in global security (Lewis, David G., 2020).

Prigozhin's alleged ties to the Russian government added significant geopolitical implications to his actions. His support for military operations in regions of strategic importance aligns with Russia's broader geopolitical goals, allowing Moscow to extend its influence without direct state intervention. This approach has strengthened Russian presence in global hotspots, increasing geopolitical tensions with rival powers and creating friction in areas where Russia seeks to assert its dominance.

In response to Prigozhin's activities, the international community has imposed sanctions and increased diplomatic pressure. However, tackling the broader challenge posed by PMCs like the Wagner Group requires more robust international cooperation and coordination. Addressing the complexities of private military operations will require enhanced global frameworks that ensure accountability and regulate the actions of PMCs, preventing further destabilization in conflict-prone regions. Lastly, the activities of PMCs, including the Wagner Group, often violate international humanitarian laws and human rights standards. Reports of human rights abuses and violations committed by these groups create serious concerns for international institutions, challenging the effectiveness of global legal norms.



These violations underscore the need for strengthened international mechanisms that hold PMCs accountable for their actions and ensure compliance with established laws of war.

Overall, Prigozhin's involvement in private military activities had posed a major challenge to global security. His activities highlight the need for an integrated international response that can effectively address the growing influence of PMCs and ensure stability in conflict areas. Without such efforts, the privatization of military power will continue to undermine global peace and exacerbate security challenges across the world.

The geopolitical ramifications of the Wagner Group's involvement in various conflicts extend far beyond the immediate theaters of operation, creating ripple effects that reshape international relations and regional dynamics. As this private military company operates across multiple fronts, its actions generate profound consequences that demand careful analysis and consideration.

At the forefront of these impacts is the direct challenge to Western influence in affected regions. The Wagner Group's strategic support for parties aligned with Russian interests has effectively created a counterbalance to Western diplomatic and military efforts, significantly straining relations between major powers. This contestation of influence has transformed localized conflicts into proxy battlegrounds for broader geopolitical rivalries, elevating regional disputes to the level of great power competition.

The presence of the Wagner Group has also introduced new complexities into conflict resolution efforts. As a private military entity operating with considerable autonomy, the group often acts as a significant obstacle to mediation and peace settlement initiatives. Traditional diplomatic approaches, designed

to engage with state actors, struggle to account for and address the impact of such private military companies, complicating efforts to achieve lasting peace in affected regions. Furthermore, the Wagner Group's activities have contributed to increased regional destabilization. Their involvement typically intensifies existing tensions and deepens conflicts, leading to severe humanitarian consequences. The resulting displacement of populations and exacerbation of humanitarian crises create long-lasting impacts that extend well beyond the immediate conflict zones, affecting regional stability and international security.

The group's operations have profoundly reshaped regional dynamics in their areas of activity. By providing military support to local regimes allied with Russia, the Wagner Group has become a powerful tool for strengthening Moscow's position on the international stage. This is particularly evident in strategically crucial regions such as Syria and Ukraine, where the group's presence has significantly altered the balance of power and reshaped the geopolitical landscape in favor of Russian interests.

Under the patronage of influential figures like Yevgeny Prigozhin, the Wagner Group has emerged as a key instrument in Russia's foreign policy arsenal. Their activities contribute to a broader strategy of extending Russian influence, particularly in regions where direct military involvement by the Russian state might be politically problematic or diplomatically costly. This approach has proven effective in advancing Russian interests while maintaining a degree of plausible deniability for the Kremlin.

The cumulative effect of these geopolitical consequences poses significant challenges to international security and stability. Addressing the impact of the Wagner Group's activities requires a coordinated response from the international communi-



ty. However, formulating such a response is complicated by the group's quasi-private nature and its complex relationships with state actors. As the international community grapples with these challenges, it becomes increasingly clear that traditional approaches to conflict resolution and international security may need to be reconsidered and adapted. The rise of private military companies like the Wagner Group represents a new paradigm in modern warfare and geopolitics, one that blurs the lines between state and non-state actors and complicates efforts to maintain global peace and security.

6. 1 Unraveling the Enigma

Yevgeny Prigozhin's trajectory embodies the complex intersection of economic ambition, political maneuvering, and alleged involvement in private military activities within the contemporary Russian landscape. From humble beginnings he leveraged the chaotic post-Soviet economic transition to amass considerable wealth and influence. His success was not solely a product of entrepreneurial savvy but also stemmed from his adept cultivation of political connections, particularly with President Vladimir Putin and other key figures in Russia's ruling circles.

Central to Prigozhin's rise was his company's provision of catering services to the Kremlin, affording him privileged access to the corridors of power. This symbiotic relationship exemplifies the intertwining of business and politics in Russia, where personal connections often determine success as much as market forces do. However, Prigozhin's shadowy connections to the Wagner Group introduce a dimension of intrigue and controversy to his narrative. While he denied direct involvement, his alleged ties to this paramilitary organization have drawn intense international scrutiny and led to sig-

nificant sanctions. The Wagner Group's activities, aligned with Russian geopolitical interests, raise questions about Putin's role in shaping conflict dynamics beyond conventional state apparatuses.

The imposition of sanctions underscores the perceived threat posed by Prigozhin's activities to global security. By targeting his monetary interests, the international community seeks to mitigate his influence and deter similar actors from engaging in destabilizing behavior. However, these measures also highlight the limitations of traditional sanctions in curbing the activities of individuals with deep ties to state power. Moreover, Prigozhin's enigmatic persona adds another layer to his narrative. Details about his background and specific roles in various endeavors remain elusive, fueling speculation and competing narratives about his true motivations and influence. This opacity reinforces the perception of him as a figure operating in the shadows, manipulating power dynamics to serve his ends.

In essence, Prigozhin's story offers a nuanced lens through which to examine the intricate interplay of economic ambition, political patronage, and covert military activities in contemporary Russia. It underscores the blurred boundaries between state and non-state actors and the challenges inherent in regulating actors who operate at the nexus of business, politics, and conflict. As such, understanding Prigozhin's enigma is essential for comprehending the complexities of power dynamics in the modern geopolitical landscape.

7. The Metamorphosis of Wagner Group: A Post-Prigozhin Analysis

The demise of Yevgeny Prigozhin in August 2023 catalyzed a profound transformation within the Wagner Group, precipitating a series of structural



changes that have fundamentally reshaped this formidable military organization. This comprehensive analysis explores the multifaceted implications of this pivotal event on one of the world's most notorious private military companies.

In the realm of leadership and command, Wagner Group has undergone a remarkable metamorphosis. The Russian Ministry of Defense swiftly orchestrated a sophisticated integration process, methodically dismantling the group's previous autonomous command structure. This transition manifested through an intricate web of bureaucratic mechanisms, effectively subordinating Wagner's operational leadership to the formal military hierarchy. Former commanders found themselves at a critical crossroads: embrace integration into the official military framework or face potential obsolescence. This strategic realignment has fundamentally altered the decision-making dynamics within the organization, creating a more centralized and state-controlled operational paradigm.

The group's extensive operations in Africa have demonstrated remarkable resilience despite this leadership transition. In Mali, the Central African Republic, and Libya, Wagner's presence persists, albeit under transformed operational parameters. These regional operations now function under enhanced Moscow oversight, with modified command structures and renegotiated contracts reflecting the new power dynamics. The preservation of these strategic footholds in Africa underscores the Russian state's commitment to maintaining influence in these crucial regions, even as the operational methodology evolves to align more closely with state objectives.

Perhaps most significantly, Wagner Group's financial architecture has undergone a comprehen-

sive restructuring. The dissolution of Prigozhin's intricate financial networks necessitated the development of new funding mechanisms, predominantly channeled through state-controlled financial institutions. This transition has introduced stricter financial oversight and reporting requirements, fundamentally altering the group's economic model. The previous entrepreneurial flexibility in resource allocation and contract negotiation has been replaced by more standardized, state-supervised processes.

The organizational architecture of Wagner has experienced equally profound changes. The implementation of standardized military protocols has replaced the previous autonomous operational procedures, while enhanced monitoring mechanisms ensure alignment with Russian military doctrine. This standardization extends to training programs, equipment procurement, and operational planning, creating a more uniform but potentially less adaptable force structure.

In the international arena, Wagner Group's diplomatic posture has required careful recalibration. Relationships with host nations, particularly in Africa, have undergone subtle but significant adjustments. The Russian diplomatic corps has assumed a more prominent role in managing Wagner Group's international presence, reflecting a shift toward more formalized state-to-state relationships. This evolution has implications for the group's ability to maintain its effectiveness in complex international environments while operating under increased state oversight.

Looking toward the future, Wagner Group's trajectory suggests an organization in evolution. Integration into formal military structures, while potentially constraining certain operational flexibilities, may enhance other capabilities through improved access to state resources and support. The group's legend-



ary adaptability is being assessed as it navigates this new paradigm, balancing state control with operational effectiveness in diverse international contexts.

This transformation represents more than merely an organizational restructuring; it signifies a fundamental shift in private military operations within the Russian sphere of influence. The post-Prigozhin Wagner Group emerges as a more directly controlled state asset, its operations more closely aligned with Russian strategic objectives while maintaining its operational significance in key regions globally. The long-term implications of this evolution will likely resonate throughout the international security landscape as the group continues to adapt and operate within its new parameters.

8. Conclusion

This study offers valuable insights into the secretive world of the Wagner Group, illuminating its origins, operations, and profound implications for international security and diplomacy. The findings reveal an organization that operates within a complex web of state sponsorship, private enterprise, and illicit activities, posing significant challenges to regional stability, human rights, and the rule of law. The group's alleged ties to the Russian government underscore the urgent need for enhanced scrutiny and diplomatic efforts to address its activities and mitigate its impact on global security.

Central to understanding the Wagner Group is the enigmatic figure of Yevgeny Prigozhin, whose persona reflects the profound transformations in global geopolitics. Operating behind the scenes, Prigozhin leverages an intricate network of political, commercial, and military connections to further his objectives and advance Russia's interests. His complex character epitomizes the emerging chal-

lenges facing the international community as the lines between governmental authority and personal interests become increasingly blurred, spanning commercial, political, and military realms.

Considering these findings, several policy recommendations emerge. There is a pressing need for enhanced international cooperation and coordination to regulate and monitor private military companies such as the Wagner Group. This necessitates the establishment of robust legal frameworks and accountability mechanisms, coupled with improved intelligence sharing among stakeholders. Diplomatic efforts must be intensified to engage with state actors, including Russia, to address concerns regarding the Wagner Group's activities and ensure adherence to international law. Additionally, the strategic implementation of targeted sanctions and diplomatic pressure can serve as effective deterrents against entities engaged in illicit activities or human rights violations.

Looking ahead, future research directions are abundant and crucial. Detailed case studies of specific Wagner Group operations, comparative analyses with other PMCs, and investigations into the group's impact on local communities could provide valuable insights. Furthermore, exploring the role of external factors, such as state sponsors and financial backers, would contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the organization's modes of operation and influence.

This study constitutes a significant contribution to the academic discourse on private military companies PMCS and their impact on global security. By examining the Wagner Group through multiple lenses—historical, legal, and ethical—it provides a nuanced analysis of a complex and evolving phenomenon. As we move forward, concerted efforts are necessary



to address the challenges posed by entities like the Wagner Group and uphold the principles of peace, security, and human rights in conflict-affected regions worldwide. In essence, the Wagner Group serves as a stark reminder of the intricacies and ambiguities characterizing contemporary global politics and security, demanding our engagement with these challenges and the swift changes defining our times.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest for the published article.

Funding Disclosure

The authors of the published research declared that he did not receive any financial grant, from any New York government-funded entity, or a non-profit organization.

References

- Aslund, A. (1999). *Why has Russia's economic transformation been so arduous?* In *Annual World Bank*, 28-30.
- Belousov, L. & Vlasov, A. (2010). *The post-Soviet space: A transition period. Transition Studies Review*, 17, 108-122.
- Chunxue, Y. (2023). *Wagner Group's geopolitical effects in the context of Russian hybrid warfare. Journal of Interdisciplinary Insights*, 1(1), 89-94.
- Danese, P., Molinaro, M., & Romano, P. (2018). *Managing evolutionary paths in Sales and Operations Planning: Key dimensions and sequences of implementation. International Journal of Production Research*, 56(5), 2036-2053.
- Davlyatchin, I.(2019). "Zoloto Vagnera [Wagner's Gold]." Rosbalt, February. <https://www.rosbalt.ru/piter/2019/02/19/1764754.html>.
- David G. Lewis (2020), *Russia's New Authoritarianism*, Edinburgh University Press (UK),
- Fatima, M., Ata, G., & Rizwan, A. (2023). *Institutional Pressures and Sustainable Business Practices: A Case of Strategic Environmental Management. Global Economics Review*, 8(1), 196-212.
- Giles, K., & Akimenko, V. (2019). *Use and utility of Russia's private military companies. Journal of Future Conflict*, 1(1), 2.
- Górka, M. (2023). *The Wagner Group as a tool of Russian hybrid warfare. Koszalin University of Technology (Poland)*, P94.
- GurbanovY. (2023). *Unraveling the Wagner Group and Yevgeny Prigozhin: The Enigma of Prigozhin's 'March of Justice*. ESI Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.19044/esipreprint.9.2023.p303>.
- Hahn, W. & Powers, T. L. (2010). *Strategic plan quality, implementation capability, and firm performance. Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 9(1), 63.
- Jay Wagner, A. (2021). *Pandering, priority, or political weapon: Presidencies, political parties, and the Freedom of Information Act. Communication Law and Policy*, 26(1), 53-102.
- Kinsey, C. (2006). *Corporate Soldier and International Security. Routledge, London*.
- Kozhanov, N. (Ed.). (2021). *Russia's relations with the GCC and Iran. Palgrave Macmillan*, 1-20.
- Karel Sovoboda (2022), *Russia's Loans as a Means of Geoeconomic Competition in Africa and Latin America, Problems of Post-Communism*, Taylor & Francis.
- K Giles, V Akimenko (2019), *USE AND UTILITY OF RUSSIA'S PRIVATE MILITARY COMPANIES*, *Journal of Future Conflict*, [queensu.ca](https://www.queensu.ca), https://www.queensu.ca/psychology/sites/psycwww/files/uploaded_files/Graduate/OnlineJournal/Keir_Giles_and_Valeriy_



- Akimenko-Use_and_Utility_of_Russias_Private_Military_Companies.pdf
- Julia Stanyard (2023), Thierry Vircoulon, Julian Rademeyer, THE GREY ZONE, Hanns Seidel Foundation.
- Lewis, David G. (2020), Russia's new authoritarianism: Putin and the politics of order, Edinburgh University Press.
- Lewis, D. (2022). *Contesting liberal peace: Russia's emerging model of conflict management*. Oxford University Press on behalf of The Royal Institute of International Affairs.
- Lieven, D. (1995). *The Russian Empire and the Soviet Union as imperial polities*. *Journal of Contemporary History*, 30(4), 607-636.
- Lohmus, E. H. (2023). *The Role of the Wagner Group in the Russo-Ukrainian War*.
- Marten, K. (2019). *Russia's use of semi-state security forces: The case of the Wagner Group*. *Post-Soviet Affairs*, 35(3), 181-204.
- Marten, K. (2019). *The intelligence agencies and Putin: Undermining Russia's security?* In *Routledge Handbook of Russian Security*, 192–202. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Metcalf, L., & Benn, S. (2013). *Leadership for sustainability: An evolution of leadership ability*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 112, 369-384.
- Nebolsina, M. (2019). *Private Military and Security Companies*. *Russia in Global Affairs*, 17(2), 76-106.
- Nedele, K. C. H. (2023). *THE LONG ARM(S) OF THE STATE*. World Peace Foundation, Tufts University, Holland.
- Nicole J. Jackson (2012), International Politics and National Political Regimes, Chapter The Role of External Factors in Achieving Non-Liberal Democratic Forms of Political Rule: A Case Study of Russia's Influence on Central Asian Regimes, 1st Edition, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Pokalova, E. (2023). *The Wagner Group in Africa: Russia's Quasi-State Agent of Influence*. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism, Taylor & Francis*.
- Port, J. M. (2021). *State or Nonstate: The Wagner Group's Role in Contemporary Intrastate Conflicts Worldwide* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill), 2.
- Ramani, S. (2022). *Russia's post-2011 resurgence in Libya: A four-pronged hybrid intervention*. In S. Ramani (Ed.), *Hybrid threat activity in the MENA region: State and non-state actors seeking status and expanding influence*, 11.
- Rondeaux, C. (2019). *Decoding the Wagner group: Analyzing the role of private military security contractors in Russian proxy warfare*. Washington, DC: New America.
- Rødal, T. (2005). *Leadership and leadership communication in a matrix-structured organization: Some critical factors*, 2.
- Sanders, R. (2018). *Human rights abuses at the limits of the law: Legal instabilities and vulnerabilities in the 'Global War on Terror.'* *Review of International Studies*, 44(1), 2-23.
- Sarjito, A. (2023). *The Role of Private Military Companies in Defense Policy and Military Operations*. *Andalus Institute International Studies, Vol. 1*.
- Sukhankin, S. (2018). *'Continuing war by other means': The case of Wagner, Russia's premier private military company in the Middle East*. In *Russia in the Middle East*, 290-319.
- Sukhankin, S. (2019). *The Russian state's use of irregular forces and private military groups: From Ivan the Terrible to the Soviet Period*. The Jamestown Foundation. <https://jamestown.org/program/the-russian-states-use-of-irregular-forces-and-private-military-groups-from-ivan-the-terrible-to-the-soviet-period/>
- Tarek Megerisi, 2020, *Geostrategic Dimensions of Libya's Civil War*, Africa Security Brief,



a publication of the Africa Center for Strategic Studies, chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/AD1126141.pdf

Wong, L., Bliese, P., & McGurk, D. (2003). *Military leadership: A context-specific review*. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 14(6), 657-692.

